

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/IONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,098	07/21/2003	Bradley R. Bowman	2000874.00153US1	6122
23483 WILMERHAL	7590 05/05/200 E/BOSTON	EXAMINER		
60 STATE STREET			RAPILLO, KRISTINE K	
BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/624,098	BOWMAN ET A	L.
	Art Unit	
KRISTINE K. RAPILLO	3626	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 16 April 2009.

1. Improper Request – The I reason(s):	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. clude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ommunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains un is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no	ent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant orief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal the from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period orice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determing Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from co	ned the status of the claim(s) is as follows: nsideration: <u>27-32</u> .
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of eution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>KRISTINE K. RAPILLO</u> .	(3 <u>)/VINCENT MILLIN/</u> .
(2) <u>ROBERT MORGAN</u> .	(4)
/Kristine K Rapillo/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626	/Robert Morgan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3626